

An application of supersymmetric quantum mechanics to a planar physical system

R. de Lima Rodrigues^{a,*}, V. B. Bezerra^b and A. N. Vaidya^c

^a Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas

Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150

22290-180, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

^b Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba

58051-970 João Pessoa-PB, Brazil

^c Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

21.945-970 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

Abstract

Supersymmetry (SUSY) in non-relativistic quantum mechanics (QM) is applied to a 2-dimensional physical system: a neutron in an external magnetic field. The superpotential and the two-component wave functions of the ground state are found out.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Pb, 03.65.Fd, 11.10.

*Permanent address: Departamento de Ciências Exatas e da Natureza, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.900-000 Cajazeiras - PB, Brazil, Email: rafael@cfp.ufpb.br. Published in Phys. Lett. **A287**, 45-49, (2001).

The algebraic technique of supersymmetry in quantum mechanics (SUSY QM) was first introduced by Witten [1]. The essential idea of this formulation is based on the Darboux procedure on second-order differential equations, which has been successfully utilized to achieve a supersymmetric generalization of the harmonic-oscillator raising and lowering operators for shape-invariant potentials [2,3]. The SUSY algebra has also been applied to construct a variety of new one-parameter families of isospectral supersymmetric partner potentials in quantum field theory [4]. The shape-invariance conditions in SUSY have been independently generalized for systems described by two-component wave functions [5]. Recently, we have found a two-by-two matrix superpotential associated to the linear classical stability from the static solutions for a system of two coupled real scalar fields in (1+1)-dimensions [6].

We also presented an integral representation for the momentum space Green's function for a neutron in interaction with a static magnetic field of a straight current carrying wire, which is also described by two-component wave functions [7]. The SUSY QM formalism was also applied to this planar physical system in the momentum [8] and coordinate [9] representations.

In this letter, we consider the notation of Ref. [8]. However, according to our developments, we can realize the supersymmetric algebra in coordinate representation, introducing some transformations in the original system corresponding to a neutron interacting with the magnetic field of a linear current carrying conductor, so that we are able to implement a comparison with both superpotentials for the cases corresponding to currents located along x and z directions.

Now, let us consider an electrically neutral spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle of mass $M = 1$ and magnetic moment $\mu\vec{\sigma}$ (a neutron) interacting with an infinite straight wire carrying a current I and located along the z -axis. The magnetic field generated by the wire is given by (we use units with $c = \hbar = 1$)

$$\vec{B} = 2I \frac{(-y, x, 0)}{(x^2 + y^2)}, \quad (1)$$

where x and y are Cartesian coordinates of the plane perpendicular to the wire.

The Hamiltonian associated with the physical system is given by

$$H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M} + \mu\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2} + 2I\mu \frac{(-y\sigma_1 + x\sigma_2)}{(x^2 + y^2)}, \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ are Pauli matrices. The motion along the z -axis is free and will be ignored in what follows and in this way we get a two-dimensional problem.

Due to the translational symmetry in the z -direction, the two-component wave function $\psi(\rho, k)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(n_\rho)}(\rho, k) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi L}} \left\{ \tilde{\psi}_1^{(n_\rho, m)}(\rho, k) e^{im\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\psi}_2^{(n_\rho, m)}(\rho, k) e^{i(m+1)\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L}kz} \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^{(n_\rho)}(\rho, k) \\ \psi_2^{(n_\rho)}(\rho, k) \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where $n_\rho = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is the radial quantum number; $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$; ρ, ϕ, z are the usual cylindrical coordinates and the parameter L is the macroscopic length of the conductor.

Therefore, the Schrödinger equation splits up into a system of two coupled second order differential equations as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \tilde{\psi}_1^{(n_\rho, m)} \right) - \frac{m^2}{\rho^2} \tilde{\psi}_1^{(n_\rho, m)} + 2\tilde{E} \tilde{\psi}_1^{(n_\rho, m)} + \frac{2F}{\rho} \tilde{\psi}_2^{(n_\rho, m)} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \tilde{\psi}_2^{(n_\rho, m)} \right) - \frac{(m+1)^2}{\rho^2} \tilde{\psi}_2^{(n_\rho, m)} + 2\tilde{E} \tilde{\psi}_2^{(n_\rho, m)} + \frac{2F}{\rho} \tilde{\psi}_1^{(n_\rho, m)} &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where

$$F = -\frac{\mu_0 \mu I}{2\pi} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\tilde{E} = E - \frac{2\pi k^2}{L^2}. \quad (6)$$

Note that Eq. (4) is exactly Eq. (2.8) given in [8]. Now, using the relation

$$\tilde{\psi}_i^{(n_\rho, m)} = \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_i^{(n_\rho, m)} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (7)$$

we can write the system in (4) in the matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} - 2\tilde{E} & \frac{-2F}{\rho} \\ \frac{-2F}{\rho} & -\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{(m+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} - 2\tilde{E} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)} \\ \phi_2^{(n_\rho, m)} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (8)$$

which corresponds to a one-dimensional Schrödinger-like equation associated with the two-component wave function. Therefore, we get the eigenvalue equations

$$\mathbf{H}_1 \Phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)} = \tilde{E}_1^{(n_\rho, m)} \Phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)}, \quad E_1^{(n_\rho, m)} = 2\tilde{E}_1^{(n_\rho, m)}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)} = \Phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)}(\rho, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{(n_\rho, m)}(\rho, k) \\ \phi_2^{(n_\rho, m)}(\rho, k) \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = -\mathbf{1} \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} & \frac{-2F}{\rho} \\ \frac{-2F}{\rho} & \frac{(m+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Defining

$$\mathbf{H}_1 \equiv \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^- + \mathbf{1} \tilde{E}_1^{(0)}, \quad \mathbf{A}^\pm = \pm \frac{d}{d\rho} + \mathbf{W}(\rho), \quad (12)$$

we obtain the following Riccati equation in matrix form

$$\mathbf{W}'(\rho) + \mathbf{W}^2(\rho) + \mathbf{1} \tilde{E}_1^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} & \frac{-2F}{\rho} \\ \frac{-2F}{\rho} & \frac{(m+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{W}(\rho)$ is a two-by-two superpotential matrix. The hermiticity condition allows us to write

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} f(\rho) & g(\rho) \\ g(\rho) & h(\rho) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where f, g and h are real functions and satisfy the nonlinear system of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} f' + f^2 + g^2 + E_1^{(0)} = \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} \\ fg + hg + g' = \frac{-2F}{\rho} \\ h' + h^2 + g^2 + E_1^{(0)} = \frac{(m+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Now, let us try a solution for equation (15) assuming that g is constant. Then, we have

$$f + h = -\frac{2F}{g\rho}, \quad (16)$$

which gives

$$f' - h' - \frac{2F}{g\rho}(f - h) + \frac{2m+1}{\rho^2} = 0. \quad (17)$$

Solving the last equation and imposing finiteness condition on the solutions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &= \frac{b}{\rho}, \\ h(\rho) &= \frac{c}{\rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where b and c are arbitrary constants. Substituting these solutions into the system (15), we find that a consistent solution is possible only if

$$g = -\frac{F}{m+1} \quad (19)$$

where F is defined in Eq. (5). Then, turning to Eq. (17) and substituting Eqs. (18) and (19) we find constants b and c . Putting these results back into Eq. (18), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &= \frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{\rho} \\ h(\rho) &= \frac{m + \frac{3}{2}}{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In this case, the two almost isospectral Hamiltonians are given by

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^- - \frac{F^2}{2(m+1)^2} \mathbf{1}, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \mathbf{A}^- \mathbf{A}^+ - \frac{F^2}{2(m+1)^2} \mathbf{1}. \quad (22)$$

Since $\mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^-$ is positive semidefinite, according to (12) and (21) the energy eigenvalue of the ground state is

$$\tilde{E}^{(0)} = -\frac{F^2}{2(m+1)^2}, \quad (23)$$

with the annihilation conditions

$$A^- \Phi_1^{(0)} = 0 \quad (24)$$

and

$$A^+ \Phi_2^{(0)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

and the new superpotential

$$\mathbf{W}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{\rho} & -\frac{F}{m+1} \\ -\frac{F}{m+1} & \frac{m+\frac{3}{2}}{\rho} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The energy eigenvalues of magnetically bound excited states in terms of the radial quantum number n_ρ , for $m \geq m_0$ becomes

$$\tilde{E}^{(n_\rho)} = -\frac{F^2}{2(n_\rho + m_0 + 1)^2}. \quad (27)$$

Now let us to determine the eigenfunction associated with the ground state given by Eq.(24). To do this let us consider the transformations

$$\phi(\rho) = \chi^{(0)} \rho^{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \rho = 2(m+1)\eta, \quad F = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (28)$$

which implies that Eq. (24) turns into the following matrix differential equation

$$\mathbf{1} \frac{d}{d\eta} \chi(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \chi^{(0)}(\eta), \quad \chi^{(0)}(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^{(0)} \\ \chi_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

so that we obtain the following equations for the components $\chi_1^{(0)}$ and $\chi_2^{(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\eta} \chi_1^{(0)}(\eta) &= \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta), \\ \frac{d}{d\eta} \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta) &= \chi_1^{(0)}(\eta) + \frac{1}{\eta} \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which leads us a second-order differential equation for $\chi_2^{(0)}(\eta)$, viz.,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta) - \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d}{d\eta} \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta) + \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} - 1 \right) \chi_2^{(0)}(\eta) = 0. \quad (31)$$

From equations (3), (30) and (31) we obtain the m -dependent normalizable ground state

$$\Psi^{(0)}(\rho) = C_m \rho^{m+1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{im\phi} K_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2m+2}\right) \\ e^{i(m+1)\phi} K_0\left(\frac{\rho}{2m+2}\right) \end{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L}kz} \quad (32)$$

where C_m is the normalization constant, and $K_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2m+2}\right)$ and $K_0\left(\frac{\rho}{2m+2}\right)$ are the modified Bessel functions. The eigenfunction $\Psi^{(0)}(\rho)$ is in according with the result found via momentum representation in Ref. [8]. Note that the complete solution of Eq. (31)

$$\chi_2^{(0)}(\eta) = \eta (C_1 K_0(\eta) + C_2 I_0(\eta)), \quad (33)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary non-normalizable constants. Therefore, in order to get a normalizable solution, we choose $c_2 = 0$ and in this way we drop $I_0(\eta)$ which is divergent when $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

It is worthy noticing that under a unitary transformation, $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}_m\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_m$, this superpotential, together with the interchange of m by $m + \frac{1}{2}$, and taking $F = -\frac{1}{2}$ becomes that superpotential matrix $(\mathbf{W}_{LJM})$ shown in [9], viz., $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}} = -\mathbf{W}_{LJM}$. This minus sign that connects $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{LJM}$ is associated to the fact that we have chosen the first-order differential operator $\mathbf{A}^-$ with the opposite sign in the derivative term of the operator A_m considered in Ref. [9].

Using the coordinate representation, we investigate the SUSY in non-relativistic quantum mechanics with two-component eigenfunctions and find a new realization of supersymmetry in a planar physical system of a neutron in interaction with a straight current-carrying wire.

The $N = 2$ -SUSY superalgebra has the following representation

$$\mathbf{H}_{SUSY} = [Q_-, Q_+]_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^+\mathbf{A}^- & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A}^+ \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_- = \mathbf{H} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{H}_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where the supersymmetric partners are given by $\mathbf{H}_- = \mathbf{H}_1 - \mathbf{1}E_1^{(0)}$, $\mathbf{H}_+ = \mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{1}E_1^{(0)}$ and the supercharges $Q_{\pm}$ are 4 by 4 matrix differential operators of first order and can be given by

$$Q_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{A}^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}, \quad Q_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{A}^+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}. \quad (35)$$

We have seen that, in non-relativistic quantum mechanics applied to two-component eigenfunctions, if $\Phi_1^{(0)}$ is a normalizable two-component eigenfunction, one cannot write $\Phi_2^{(0)}$ in terms of $\Phi_1^{(0)}$, as in the case of ordinary supersymmetric quantum mechanics. This may be shown in the system considered here of a neutron interacting with an external magnetic field with the current of the conductor in the z direction. Only in the case of 1-component wave functions one may write the superpotential as $W(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln(\psi_0(x))$.

The hermiticity condition satisfied by the superpotential, in the general case, leads us to a method that permits to solve the matrix Riccati equation. As a final remark, we would like to draw the attention to the fact that our result, for a superpotential corresponding to

a neutron in an external magnetic field in the coordinate representation, is related by the following unitary transformation, $\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)$, where σ_1 and σ_3 are the Pauli matrix, with a new superpotential so that, after the substitution m by $m + \frac{1}{2}$ (the total angular momentum along the wire direction) it reduces to the superpotential recently found in [9], where a current I along the x axis of a Cartesian system is considered.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by CNPq (Brazilian Research Agency). RLR wish to thanks the staff of the CBPF and DCEN-CFP-UFPB for the facilities. Thanks are also due to J. A. Helayel Neto for hospitality of RLR at CBPF-MCT and for fruitful discussions on supersymmetric models.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 513 ; D. L. Pursey, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 2267.
- [2] L. E. Gendenshtein, JETP Lett. 38 (1983) 356; L. E. Gendenshtein and I. V. Krive, Sov. Phys. Usp. 28 (1985) 645; A. Lahiri, P. K. Roy and B. Bagchi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5 (1990) 1383.
- [3] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995) 267.
- [4] P. B. da Silva Filho, R. de Lima Rodrigues and A. N. Vaidya, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 2395.
- [5] T. Fukui, Phys. Lett. A 178 (1993) 1; X.-Y. Wang, B.-C. Xu and P. L. Taylor, Phys. Lett. A 137 (1993) 30; T. K. Das and B. Chakrabari, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 2387.
- [6] R. de Lima Rodrigues, P. B. da Silva Filho and A. N. Vaidya, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 125023.
- [7] R. de Lima Rodrigues and A. N. Vaidya, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. Phys. 32 (1999) 2653.
- [8] I. Voronin, Phys. Rev. A 43 (1991) 29.
- [9] L. Vestergaard Hau, J. A. Golovchenko and Michael M. Burns, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3138.